SDG indicator metadata

**(Harmonized metadata template - format version 1.0)**

0. Indicator information

0.a. Goal

Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere

0.b. Target

Target 1.5: By 2030, build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters

0.c. Indicator

Indicator 1.5.1: Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population

0.d. Series

0.e. Metadata update

2018-03-01

0.f. Related indicators

0.g. International organisations(s) responsible for global monitoring

United Nations Office for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR)

1. Data reporter

1.a. Organisation

United Nations Office for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR)

2. Definition, concepts, and classifications

2.a. Definition and concepts

**Definition:**

This indicator measures the number of people who died, went missing or were directly affected by disasters per 100,000 population.

**Concepts:**

Death:The number of people who died during the disaster, or directly after, as a direct result of the hazardous event.

Missing:The number of people whose whereabouts is unknown since the hazardous event. Itincludes people who are presumed dead, for whom there is no physical evidence such as a body, and for which an official/legal report has been filed with competent authorities.

Directly affected: The number of people who have suffered injury, illness or other health effects; who were evacuated, displaced, relocated or have suffered direct damage to their livelihoods, economic, physical, social, cultural and environmental assets. Indirectly affected are people who have suffered consequences, other than or in addition to direct effects, over time, due to disruption or changes in economy, critical infrastructure, basic services, commerce or work, or social, health and psychological consequences.

2.b. Unit of measure

2.c. Classifications

3. Data source type and data collection method

3.a. Data sources

Data provider at national level is appointed Sendai Framework Focal Points. In most countries disaster data are collected by line ministries and national disaster loss databases are established and managed by special purpose agencies including national disaster management agencies, civil protection agencies, and meteorological agencies. The Sendai Framework Focal Points in each country are responsible of data reporting through the Sendai Framework Monitoring System.

3.b. Data collection method

3.c. Data collection calendar

3.d. Data release calendar

3.e. Data providers

3.f. Data compilers

3.g. Institutional mandate

4. Other methodological considerations

4.a. Rationale

The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 was adopted by UN Member States in March 2015 as a global policy of disaster risk reduction. Among the global targets, “Target A: Substantially reduce global disaster mortality by 2030, aiming to lower average per 100,000 global mortality between 2020-2030 compared with 2005-2015” and “Target B: Substantially reduce the number of affected people globally by 2030, aiming to lower the average global figure per 100,000 between 2020-2030 compared with 2005-2015” will contribute to sustainable development and strengthen economic, social, health and environmental resilience. The economic, environmental and social perspectives would include poverty eradication, urban resilience, and climate change adaptation.

The open-ended intergovernmental expert working group on indicators and terminology relating to disaster risk reduction (OIEWG) established by the General Assembly (resolution 69/284) has developed a set of indicators to measure global progress in the implementation of the Sendai Framework, which was endorsed by the UNGA (OIEWG [report A/71/644](http://www.preventionweb.net/publications/view/51748)). The relevant global indicators for the Sendai Framework will be used to report for this indicator.

Disaster loss data is greatly influenced by large-scale catastrophic events, which represent important outliers. UNISDR recommends countries report the data by event, so that complementary analysis can be undertaken to obtain trends and patterns in which such catastrophic events (that can represent outliers) can be included or excluded.

4.b. Comment and limitations

The Sendai Framework Monitoring System has been developed to measure the progress in the implementation of the Sendai Framework by UNGA endorsed indicators. Member States will be able to report through the System from March 2018. The data for SDG indicators will be compiled and reported by UNISDR.

4.c. Method of computation

Related indicators as of February 2020

Where:

A2  Number of deaths attributed to disasters;

A3 Number of missing persons attributed to disasters; and

B1 Number of directly affected people attributed to disasters.

\* Detailed methodologies can be found in the Technical Guidance (see below the Reference section)

4.d. Validation

4.e. Adjustments

4.f. Treatment of missing values (i) at country level and (ii) at regional level

4.g. Regional aggregations

4.h. Methods and guidance available to countries for the compilation of the data at the national level

4.i. Quality management

4.j Quality assurance

4.k Quality assessment

5. Data availability and disaggregation

**Disaggregation:**

Number of deaths attributed to disasters;

Number of missing persons attributed to disasters; and

Number of directly affected people attributed to disasters.

[Desirable Disaggregation]:

Hazard

Geography (Administrative Unit)

Sex

Age (3 categories)

Disability

Income

6. Comparability / deviation from international standards

7. References and Documentation

**Official SDG Metadata URL:** <https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/metadata/files/Metadata-01-05-01.pdf>

Internationally agreed methodology and guideline URL:

Technical guidance for monitoring and reporting on progress in achieving the global targets of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR 2017)

<https://www.preventionweb.net/files/54970_collectionoftechnicalguidancenoteso.pdf>

**Other references:**

Report of the open-ended intergovernmental expert working group on indicators and terminology relating to disaster risk reduction (OEIWG). Endorsed by UNGA on 2nd February 2017. Available at: <https://www.preventionweb.net/publications/view/51748>

**Country examples:**

Proxy, alternative and additional indicators:

In most cases international data sources only record events that surpass some threshold of impact and use secondary data sources which usually have non uniform or even inconsistent methodologies, producing heterogeneous datasets.